

# STAT/ELEC 331 HW 6

Problems in addition to those from the book

## 1. Error-Correcting Codes

Consider a binary symmetric channel (BSC) and suppose we wish to transmit 4 information bits. In this problem we will consider the so-called Hamming (7,4) code, which consists of the codewords

|         |         |         |         |
|---------|---------|---------|---------|
| 0000000 | 0100101 | 1000011 | 1100110 |
| 0001111 | 0101010 | 1001100 | 1101001 |
| 0010110 | 0110011 | 1010101 | 1110000 |
| 0011001 | 0111100 | 1011010 | 1111111 |

Each of the 16 possible configurations of information bits is mapped to one of these codewords. The first four bits of a codeword correspond to the information bits. The codeword is then transmitted over the BSC, and decoding is based on the notion of Hamming distance.

The *Hamming distance* between two binary sequences is defined to be the number of bits where the two sequences differ. For example, if  $d$  denotes Hamming distance, then  $d(011010001, 010011011) = 3$ .

The codewords comprising the Hamming (7,4) code satisfy the following property: For any 7 bit sequence  $\mathbf{z}$ , there is a unique codeword  $\mathbf{x}^k$  such that  $d(\mathbf{z}, \mathbf{x}^k) \leq 1$ . That is, there is a unique codeword whose Hamming distance from  $\mathbf{z}$  is at most 1. You do not need to prove this, but it would be good to convince yourself.

This suggests the following decoding strategy: if a sequence  $\mathbf{z}$  is received, let  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  denote the closest codeword (in terms of hamming distance). The decoder guesses that the transmitted information bits are the first four bits of  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$ .

- a. If  $p = 0.1$  (the parameter characterizing the BSC), what is the probability of error for the Hamming (7,4) code? I will get you started:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{P}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} \neq \mathbf{x}) &= 1 - \mathbf{P}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{16} \mathbf{P}(\{\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}^k\} \cap \{\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^k\}) \\ &= 1 - \sum_{k=1}^{16} \mathbf{P}(\hat{\mathbf{x}} = \mathbf{x}^k \mid \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^k) \mathbf{P}(\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{x}^k). \end{aligned}$$

This is simply an application of the law of total probability. Here  $\mathbf{x}$  denotes the transmitted sequence and  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}$  the decoded sequence. Assume that all 16 configurations of information bits are equally likely.

- b. If the Hamming (7,4) code is useful, it should have a lower probability of error than if we did no channel coding. Verify this for  $p = 0.1$ .
- c. Consider the repetition code where each information bit is transmitted 3 times. What is the probability of error assuming  $p = .1$  and  $n = 4$  information bits are encoded?

- d. Even though the answer in c is smaller than the answer in a, why might the Hamming (7,4) code be preferable to the triple-repetition code in practice?

## 2. Truncated Normal

Suppose  $X \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$  and let  $f_X$  denote the pdf of  $X$ . Let  $\gamma > 0$  and consider the continuous random variable  $Y$  whose pdf is

$$f_Y(y) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } y < -\gamma \\ Cf_X(y) & \text{if } -\gamma \leq y \leq \gamma \\ 0 & \text{if } y > \gamma \end{cases},$$

where  $C$  is such that  $f_Y$  integrates to 1.  $Y$  is called a *truncated normal* random variable. Such RVs are useful for modeling data with normal characteristics but a bounded range.

- a. Express  $C$  in terms of  $\Phi$ , the cdf of a standard normal.
- b. Sketch the pdfs of  $X$  and  $Y$  on the same graph. On a separate graph, sketch the cdfs of  $X$  and  $Y$ . Label your graphs clearly. For this problem take  $\sigma^2 = 1$  and  $\gamma = 1$ .

**Optional: 2 points extra credit** – Do not use a calculator or computer.

- c. Determine the variance of  $Y$  as a function of  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma^2$ . Express the result as  $\sigma^2$  times a factor between zero and one.
- d. Fix  $\sigma^2 > 0$ . Using L'Hopital's rule if necessary, determine the limits of  $\text{var}(Y)$  as  $\gamma \rightarrow \infty$  and  $\gamma \rightarrow 0$ . Do your results make sense?