Introduction

These are handy results needed from mathematics. These will be applied; more fundamental building blocks (mostly from analysis, algebra and calculus) are found in [1]; standard texts should also be consulted.

Differentiation in Rⁿ

We define an element $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ as a standard $n \ge 1$ column vector (x_1, \dots, x_n) . We define our matrices as $n \ge k$ where n is the number of rows (observations) and k (or variously m, p, d) the number of columns (components/variables); we believe this represents the majority usage in engineering and statistics. (Unfortunately there are users who define n variables as columns with m row observations.

Let a function $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$. We say the derivative of g at $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined to be the linear map: $T \cdot \|h\| = g(x+h) - g(x) + o(\|h\|)$. Other parameterizations are possible; the interpretation of the derivative as a linear map is not optional. Usually ∇_x is the matrix associated with this transformation, and we often denote it variously as $\dot{g}, \nabla g, dg, \operatorname{or} \frac{\partial g}{\partial x}$. The second derivative at x is defined similarly and is denoted $\ddot{g}, \nabla^2 g, Dg, \operatorname{or} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x \partial x^T}$.

Definitional Notation

$$g: R \to R$$

$$\dot{g}: R \to R$$

$$g = g(x) \qquad \dot{g} = \nabla g = \frac{dg}{dx} \qquad \ddot{g} = \nabla^2 g = \frac{d^2 g}{dx^2}.$$
 For example,

$$g(x) = a \sin(x), \dot{g}(x) = a \cos(x), \text{ and } \ddot{g}(x) = -g(x).$$

$$g: R \to R^{(d \times k)^{T}} = R^{k}$$

$$\dot{g}: R^{k} \to R^{(d \times k)^{T}} = R^{k}$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{1}(x) \\ \vdots \\ g_{k}(x) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\dot{g} = \nabla g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{dg_{1}(x)}{dx} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{dg_{k}(x)}{dx} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\ddot{g} = \nabla^{2} g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{d^{2}g_{1}(x)}{dx^{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{d^{2}g_{k}(x)}{dx^{2}} \end{bmatrix}$$
For example, let
$$\frac{d^{2}g_{k}(x)}{dx^{2}}$$

$$(a) = \sum_{k=1}^{T} e^{\frac{d^{2}g_{k}(x)}{dx^{2}}} = \sum_{k=1}^{T} e^{\frac{d^{2}g_{k}(x)}{dx^{2}}}$$

$$g(x) = xx^T \in \mathbb{R}^{dxd}$$
; we have $\nabla g = \dot{g}(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, with $\dot{g}(x) = \nabla xx^T = \nabla xx + x\nabla x = 2x$.

$$g: R^{d} \to R$$

$$\dot{g}: R^{d} \to R^{d\times k} = R^{d}$$

$$\dot{g}: R^{d\times k} = R^{d} \to R^{d\times k\times d} = R^{d\times d}$$

$$g = g(x_{1}, \dots, x_{d})$$

$$\dot{g} = \nabla g = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g(x_{1}, \dots, x_{d})}{\partial x_{1}} \\ \frac{\partial g(x_{1}, \dots, x_{d})}{\partial x_{2}} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g(x_{1}, \dots, x_{d})}{\partial x_{d}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\ddot{g} = \nabla^2 g = \nabla \nabla g^T = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_1 \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_1 \partial x_d} \\ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_2 \partial x_1} & \cdots & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_2 \partial x_d} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_d \partial x_1} & \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_d \partial x_2} & \cdots & \frac{\partial^2 g}{\partial x_d \partial x_d} \end{bmatrix}$$

For example, suppose $g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ as $g(x) = x^T x$. $\dot{g}: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ as $\nabla x^T x = 2x$. Or, consider $g: \mathbb{R}^{dxd} \to \mathbb{R}$, $g(X) = \det(X)$. It can be shown that $\dot{g}: \mathbb{R}^{dxd} \to \mathbb{R}^{dxd}$ as $\nabla |X| \in \mathbb{R}^{dxd}$.

$$g: R^{d} \to R^{k}$$

$$\dot{g}: R^{d} \to R^{dxk}$$

$$\dot{g}: R^{dxk} \to R^{dxk}$$

$$g: R^{dxk} \to R^{dxk}$$

$$g: R^{dxk} \to R^{dxkx?}$$

$$g = \begin{pmatrix} g_{1}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{d}) \\ \vdots \\ g_{k}(x_{1}, \dots, x_{d}) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\dot{g} = \nabla g^{T} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} & \frac{\partial g_{2}}{\partial x_{1}} & \dots & \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial x_{1}} \\ \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{2}} & \dots & \dots & \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial x_{2}} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial g_{1}}{\partial x_{d}} & \dots & \dots & \frac{\partial g_{k}}{\partial x_{d}} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\ddot{g} = \nabla^{2} g = \nabla [?]^{1}$$

Useful Matrix Derivatives

Chain Rules

- If $f: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^s, g: \mathbb{R}^s \to \mathbb{R}^k$, and $h = g(f(x)): \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$ then $\dot{h}(x) = \dot{g}(f(x))\dot{f}(x)$
- If both $f, g: \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^k$, and $h \in \mathbb{R}^{k \times k} = f^T(x)g(x)$ then $\dot{h}(x) = g(x)^T \dot{f}(x) + f(x)^T \dot{g}(x)$ (NOTE need to check this....)

Remarks

- It is best to have a complete guide to differentiation of scalars, vectors and matrices with respect to scalars, vectors and matrices; Gentle [4] provides a good summary. Just the first derivatives for these 9 combinations can result in tensors of rank higher than 2.
- Note that a non-negative measure of variation $h(\dot{f})$, such as² $\left| \frac{df}{d\theta} \right|$ or $\left(\frac{df}{d\theta} \right)^2$, may be accumulated by summation/integration to give an overall variation as $\int h\mu$. For $\dot{f} \in L^p$ we define our L^p norm

¹ This would be a 3rd order array. See Dr. Genevera Allen re. 3rd Order tensor operations

² Note these are convex!

as $\|\dot{f}\|_{p} = \left(\int |\dot{f}|^{p} d\mu\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$. Considering the norm squared, we have $\|\dot{f}\|_{2}^{2} = \int \dot{f}^{2} d\mu$. For $h: \mathbb{R}^{k} \to \mathbb{R}$ we might use $\int \nabla f \nabla f^{T} d\mu$.

- Note that in the case of the log likelihood, $\dot{l}(\theta | x) = \frac{df}{d\theta} / f(x | \theta)$ is the RELATIVE variation w.r.t. θ ; using $h = \left(\frac{dl}{d\theta}\right)^2$, we have $\int \dot{l}(\theta | x)^2 d\mu = \int \dot{l}(\theta | x)^2 f(x | \theta) dx = E(U^2) = I(\theta)$, where $U(\theta | x) = \nabla \ell$ is the score function (statistic).
- Note that $\nabla \ell \nabla \ell^T$ is not equal to $-\nabla^2 \ell = -\nabla \nabla \ell^T = -H_\ell(\theta)$, although under regularity their expectations are. E.g., $f = x_1^2 + x_1 x_2 \Rightarrow \nabla f = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + x_2 \\ x_1 \end{pmatrix}$; but $\nabla f \nabla f^T = \begin{bmatrix} (x_1 + x_2)^2 & x_2(x_1 + x_2) \\ x_1(x_1 + x_2) & x_1 x_2 \end{bmatrix}$ is not equal to $\nabla \nabla f^T = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

References

- 1. Dobelman, J.A., Helpful Results from Analysis useful for Statistics, Working Paper (2012), Rice University
- 2. Cox, D. (2004), The Theory of Statistics and Its Applications, Working Edition, Rice University
- 3. Various vector space and applied analysis books, esp. w.r.t R^n .
- 4. Gentle, J.E. (200x) A Companion for Mathematical Statstics.