

The Central Limit Theorem

In the past few lectures we have studied statistical inference and have often assumed we have normally distributed observations. Two reasons for this are

- Quite often real data is (approximately) normal (although this must be verified, for example, with a q-q-plot)
- The analysis is tractable.

This lecture discusses a third reason, which hinges on a key result called the central limit theorem.

The Central Limit Theorem

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be a random sample
(not necessarily normal) with mean μ
and variance $\sigma^2 > 0$. ~~Denote~~

~~$$W = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)}{\sqrt{n} \sigma}$$~~

Then

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\sim} N(0, 1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

(The precise nature of the convergence
is not covered in this course.)

Practically, we can use the CLT to say, for n "sufficiently large,"

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \approx N(0, 1).$$

Recall, ^{when} we derived confidence intervals and tests for a normal sample (σ^2 known), we only used the fact

that $\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0, 1).$

By the CLT, even if the sample is not normal, it is still true that

$$P\left(\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > z_\alpha\right) \approx \alpha.$$

Therefore, by the same analysis as before,
we can show that

$$\left[\bar{X} \pm z_{\alpha/2} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \right]$$

is an approximate $(100(1-\alpha))\%$
confidence interval for μ .

Similarly, the test

$$\begin{cases} H_0 & \frac{|\bar{X} - \mu|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} < z_{\alpha/2} \\ H_1 & \frac{|\bar{X} - \mu|}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} > z_{\alpha/2} \end{cases}$$

has level of significance ¹ equal to α .
approximately

~~The~~ Note that the CLT does not assume the data is continuous. It also hold for discrete data.

Example $X_1, \dots, X_n \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda)$.

Then $\mu = \lambda$, $\sigma^2 = \lambda$.

By the CLT,

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} \approx N(0, 1).$$

Observe

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \lambda}{\sqrt{\lambda/n}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n X_i - \lambda n}{\sqrt{n\lambda}}$$

Thus, $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \approx N(\lambda n, \lambda n)$

But $\sum_{i=1}^n X_i \sim \text{Poisson}(\lambda n)$. Making the substitution

$\lambda n \rightarrow \lambda$, we conclude

$\text{Poisson}(\lambda) \approx N(\lambda, \lambda)$ for
~~large~~ large λ .

How large does n need to be for the CLT ~~to~~ approximation to hold? There are no guarantees, but here are some rules of thumb:

- If $n \approx 25$ or so, the approximation probably holds.
- If X is symmetric, unimodal (only one peak), and continuous, then $n \approx 5$ may be sufficient (Example: t , χ^2 , Gamma).

See examples in book.