











12.1 Riemann Sum

• In order to estimate an area, we need a partition of the interval [a,b]. We define a *partition* P of the closed interval [a,b] as a finite set of points $P = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ such that

 $a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b.$

• If $P = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ is a partition of the closed interval [a,b] and *f* is a function defined on that interval, then the *n*-th Riemann Sum of *f* with respect to the partition *P* is defined as:

 $R(f, P) = \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} f(t_j) (x_j - x_{j-1})$ where t_j is an arbitrary number in the interval $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$.

• But, we do not know t_j . In the previous two examples, we used the left end points of the interval $[x_{j-p}, x_j]$ (underestimation of area) and the right points of the interval $[x_{j-p}, x_j]$ (overestimation of area).

12.1 Riemann Sum

• There are two useful cases:

1) use c_j , the supremum of f(x) in the interval $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$, producing the *upper sum*:

 $U(f, P) = \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} c_j (x_j - x_{j-1})$

2) use d_j , the infimum of f(x) in the interval $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$, producing the *lower sum*:

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} d_j (x_j - x_{j-1})$$

Example: U(f, P) is displayed in dark brown and L(f, P) in orange.



12.1 Riemann Sum

Proposition: Size of Riemann Sums

Let *P* be a partition of the closed interval [a,b], and f(.) be a bounded function defined on that interval. Then,

- The lower (upper) sum is increasing (decreasing) with respect to refinements of partitions --i.e. $L(f, P') \ge L(f, P)$ or $U(f, P') \le U(f, P)$ for every refinement P' of the partition P.

- $L(f, P) \leq R(f, P) \leq U(f, P)$ for every partition P

That is, the lower sum is always less than or equal to the upper sum.

Q: Will U(f, P) and L(f, P) ever be the same?

12.1 Riemann Integral

• Suppose *f(.)* is a bounded function defined on a closed, bounded interval [*a*, *b*]. Define the *upper* and *lower Riemann integrals* as:

 $I^{*}(f) = inf \{ U(f,P): P \text{ a partition of } [a, b] \}$ $I_{*}(f) = sup \{ L(f,P): P \text{ a partition of } [a, b] \}$

Then if $I^*(f) = I_*(f)$ the function f(.) is called *Riemann integrable* (*R*-*integrable*) and the *Riemann integral* of f(.) over the interval [a, b] is denoted by b

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$

<u>Note</u>: U(f, P) and L(f, P) depend on the chosen partition, while the upper and lower integrals are independent of partitions. But, this definition is not practical, since we need to find the *sup* and *inf* over *any* partition.

12.1 Riemann Integral

• Suppose f(.) is a bounded function defined on a closed, bounded interval [a, b]. Define the *upper* and *lower Riemann integrals* as: $I^*(f) = inf \{U(f, P): P \text{ a partition of } [a, b]\}$

 $I_{(f)} = sup \{L_{(f,P)}: P \text{ a partition of } [a, b] \}$ $I_{*}(f) = sup \{L_{(f,P)}: P \text{ a partition of } [a, b] \}$

Then if $I^*(f) = I_*(f)$ the function f(.) is called *Riemann integrable* (*R*-*integrable*) and the *Riemann integral* of f(.) over the interval [a, b] is denoted by

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$

<u>Note</u>: U(f, P) and L(f, P) depend on the chosen partition, while the upper and lower integrals are independent of partitions. But, this definition is not practical, since we need to find the *sup* and *inf* over *any* partition.



12.1 Riemann Integral – Example 1

Second, take any $\varepsilon > 0$ and a partition P with $|P| < \varepsilon/2$. Then, $|U(f, P) - L(f, P)| \le \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} |c_j - d_j| (x_j - x_{j-1}),$ where c_j is the *sup* of f over $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$ and d_j is the *inf* over that interval.

Since f(.) is increasing over [0, 1], we know that the *sup* is achieved on the right side of each subinterval, the *inf* on the left side. Then,

 $| U(f, P) - L(f, P) | \leq \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} | c_j - d_j | (x_j - x_{j-1})$ = $\sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} | f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1}) | (x_j - x_{j-1})$

To estimate this sum, we use the Mean Value Theorem for $f(x) = x^2$: $|f(x) - f(y)| \le |f'(c)| |x - y|$ for *c* between *x* and *y*.

Since $|f'(t)| \le 2$ for $t \in [0, 1] \Longrightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| \le 2 |x - y|$

12.1 Riemann Integral – Example 1

To estimate this sum, we use the Mean Value Theorem for $f(x) = x^2$: $|f(x) - f(y)| \le |f'(c)| |x - y|$ for *c* between *x* and *y*.

Since $|f'(c)| \le 2$ for c in $[0, 1] => |f(x) - f(y)| \le 2 |x - y|$

But P was chosen with $|P| < \varepsilon/2$ => $|f(x_i) - f(x_{i-1})| \le 2 |x_i - x_{i-1}| \le 2 \varepsilon / 2 = \varepsilon$.

Then, $|U(f, P) - L(f, P)| \leq \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} |f(x_j) - f(x_{j-1})| (x_j - x_{j-1})$ $\leq \varepsilon \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} (x_j - x_{j-1}) = \varepsilon (1 - 0) = \varepsilon.$

Since P was arbitrary but with small norm --sufficient for the upper and lower integrals--, the upper and lower integral must exist and be equal to one common limit L.

12.1 Riemann Integral – Example 1

• Let's calculate *L*. It is easy, since now we know that the function is integrable. Then, we take a suitable partition to find the value of the integral. For example, take the following partition

$$x_j = j/n$$
 for $j = 0, 1, 2, ..., n$.

Then, the upper sum:

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} c_j (x_j - x_{j-1}) = \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} f(x_j) 1/n$$

= $\sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} (j/n)^2 1/n = 1/n^3 \sum_{j=1 \text{ to } n} j^2$
= $1/n^3 [1/6 n (n+1) (2n+1)] = 1/6 (n+1) (2n+1)/n^2$

15

16

Since we know that the upper integral exists and is equal to *L*, the limit as *n* goes to infinity of the above expression must also converge to *L*. Then, L = 1/3.

12.1 Riemann Integral – Example 2

Example: Is the Dirichlet function R-integrable? The Dirichlet Function (Q is the set of rational numbers):

 $f(x) = \begin{array}{c} 1 & \text{if } x \in Q \\ 0 & \text{if } x \notin Q \end{array}$

We have that U(f, P) = 1 and L(f, P) = 0, regardless of P. Then, $I^*(f) = 1$ and $I_*(f) = 0$.

Thus, the Dirichlet function is *not* R-*integrable* over the interval [*a*,*b*].

<u>Note</u>: Unlike the function in the previous example, we have a discontinuous function on the Irrational Numbers. We have infinite discontinuities.

12.1 Riemann Lemma

• The first example shows that it is difficult to establish the integrability of a given function. The second example illustrates that not every function is Riemann integrable.

• The *Rienmann lemma* provides an easier condition to check the integrability of a function.

Suppose f(.) is a bounded function defined on the closed, bounded interval [a, b]. Then, f(.) is R-integrable if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists at least one partition P such that $|U(f,P) - L(f,P)| < \varepsilon$

In example 1, we check the above inequality holds for *every* partition P with small enough norm. Using Riemann's Lemma, we only need to check the inequality holds for one partition. Easier! ¹⁷



12.1 Riemann Integral - Remarks

- For a function to be R-integrable it must be bounded. If the function is unbounded even at a single point in an interval [a, b] it is not Riemann integrable (because the *sup* or *inf* over the subinterval that includes the unbounded value is infinite). For example, f(x) = 1/x over [0,1], unbounded at x=0.
- The Riemann integral is based on the concept of an "interval", or rather on the length of subintervals $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$. The concept of partition applies to an interval. We can take Riemann integrals over unions of intervals, but nothing more complicated (say, Cantor sets).
- Partitions depend on the structure of the real line. Thus, we cannot define a R-integrable for functions defined on more abstract spaces --say, sequences, functions from *N* to *R*.

12.1 Riemann-Stieljes Integral

• The Riemann–Stieltjes integral of a real-valued function *f* of a real variable with respect to a real function *g* is denoted by:

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) \, dg(x)$$

defined to be the limit, as the mesh of the partition

 $P = \{a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \dots < x_{n-1} < x_n = b\},\$ of the interval [a, b] approaches zero, of the approximating sum

 $S(f,g,P) = \sum_{i=1 \text{ to } n} f(c_i) (g(x_j) - g(x_{j-1})),$

where c_i is in the *i*-th subinterval $[x_{i-1}, x_i]$. The two functions *f* and *g* are respectively called the integrand and the integrator.

• If *g* is everywhere differentiable, then the Riemann–Stieltjes integral may be different from the Riemann integral of f(x) g'(x). For example, if the derivative is unbounded. But if the derivative is continuous, they will be the same.

12.1 Lebesgue's Theory - Introduction

• The Riemann integral is based on partitioning the domain [a,b] in subintervals $[x_{j-1}, x_j]$, picking a point x_j^* in the subinterval and calculating the area under the curve by computing the Riemann sums. Then, take the limit as we add more and more points to the partition.

• Roughly speaking, Lebesgue's theory, instead of partitioning the domain, partitions the *range* into subintervals.

• Based on these subintervals, calculate areas and sum over these areas. The approximation improves with finer and finer partitions of the range.

• The Lebesgue integral will be the limit of these sums.

12.1 Lebesgue's Theory - Introduction

Suppose the function takes values between [*c*,*d*].
1) Divide range [*c*,*d*] into subintervals: [*c*=*y*₀*y*₁], [*y*₁*y*₂], ..., [*y*_{N-1}*y*_N=*d*]

2) Define E_i as the set of all points in [a,b] whose value under f lies between y_i and y_{i+1} : $E_i = f^i([y_i, y_{i+1}]) = \{x \in [a,b] \mid y_i \le f(x) \le y_{i+1}\}.$

3) Assign a "size" to E_i -a *measure* $\mu(E_i)$. Then, the portion of the graph of y=f(x) between the horizontal lines $y=y_i \& y=y_{i+1}$ will be A_i , where, $y_i \ \mu(E_i) \le A_i \le y_{i+1} \ \mu(E_i)$.

4) Approximate the area by picking a number $y_i^* \in [y_i, y_{i+1}]$, and compute: $\sum_{i=0,n-1} y_i^* \mu(E_i)$

5) The approximation improves with finer and finer partitions of [c,d]. The Lebesgue integral will be the limit (if it exists) of these sums. The function is called *Lebesgue integrable*.



12.1 Lebesgue's Theory - Introduction

• Riemann & Lebesgue integrals: Analogy:

You have a pile of coins and you want to know how much money you have. For this purpose, you can pick the coins randomly, one by one, and add them. This is the Riemann integral.

You can also sort the coins by denomination first, and get the total by multiplying each denomination by how many you have of that denomination and add them up. This is the Lebesgue integral.

• The methods are different, but you obtain the same result by either method. Similarly, when both the Riemann integral and the Lebesgue integral are defined, they give the same value.

• But, there are functions for which the Lebesgue integral is defined but the Riemann integral is not. In this sense, the Lebesgue integral is more general than the Riemann.



12.1 Lebesgue's Theory – Lebesgue Measure

• Lebesgue defined a measure, the *Lebesgue measure*, that satisfies both conditions:

- First, define an outer measure (based on the infimum of a set), which satisfies (1):

If **A** is any subset of **R**, define the (*Lebesgue*) outer measure of **A** as: $\lambda^*(\mathbf{A}) = inf \{ \sum l(\mathbf{A}_n) \}$

where the infimum is taken over all countable collections of open intervals A_n such that $A \subset UA_n$ and $l(A_n)$ is the standard length of the interval A_n .

<u>Note</u>: λ^* is defined for all sets, but, λ^* is not additive, it is subadditive –i.e., $\lambda^*(F \cup E) \leq \lambda^*(F) + \lambda^*(E)$; => not quite length.

• The outer measure is a real-valued, non-negative, monotone and countably subadditive set function.

12.1 Lebesgue's Theory – Measurable Sets

- Second, define *measure* by restricting the outer measure to measurable sets:

A set **E** is (*Lebesgue*) measurable if for every set **A** we have that $\lambda^*(\mathbf{A}) = \lambda^*(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{E}) + \lambda^*(\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{E}^C)$

If *E* is measurable, the non-negative number $\mu(E) = \lambda^*(E)$ is the (Lebesgue) measure of the set *E*.

Example 1: The set R of all real numbers is measurable: $\lambda^*(A \cap R) + \lambda^*(A \cap R^C) = \lambda^*(A) + \lambda^*(A \cap \emptyset) = \lambda^*(A)$ => R is measurable.

Example 2: The complement of a measurable set is measurable. Suppose \boldsymbol{E} is measurable => $\lambda^*(\boldsymbol{A}) = \lambda^*(\boldsymbol{A} \cap \boldsymbol{E}) + \lambda^*(\boldsymbol{A} \cap \boldsymbol{E}^C)$ For \boldsymbol{E}^C we have: $\lambda^*(\boldsymbol{A} \cap \boldsymbol{E}^C) + \lambda^*(\boldsymbol{A} \cap (\boldsymbol{E}^C)^C) = \lambda^*(\boldsymbol{A} \cap \boldsymbol{E}^C) + \lambda^*(\boldsymbol{A} \cap \boldsymbol{E}) = \lambda^*(\boldsymbol{A})$ => \boldsymbol{E} is measurable.

12.1 Lebesgue's Theory – Measurable Sets

• This restriction makes the measure additive, satisfying (2), mainly the additive requirement. But, now the measure is not defined for all sets, since not all sets are measurable (the *axiom of choice* in set theory plays a role here).

• Lebesgue's definition of measurable sets is not very intuitive. But, it is elegant, general, brief and it works.

<u>Remark</u>: Not *every* set is measurable, but it is fair to say that *most* sets are.

• Usually, the family of all measurable sets is denoted by $\mathscr{M}($ script M). \mathscr{M} is a sigma-algebra and translation invariant set containing all intervals.

12.1 Lebesgue's Theory –Properties of Measure

• Properties of Lebesgue measure:

1. All intervals are measurable. The measure of an interval: its length.

2. All open and closed sets are measurable.

3. The union and intersection of a finite or countable number of measurable sets is again measurable.

4. If *A* is measurable and *A* is the union of a countable number of measurable sets A_{μ} , then $\mu(A) \leq \Sigma \mu(A_{\mu})$.

5. If **A** is measurable and **A** is the union of a countable number of disjoint measurable sets A_{ν} , then $\mu(A) = \sum \mu(A_{\nu})$.

• According to these properties, most common sets are measurable: intervals; closed & open sets; unions & intersections of measurable sets. But, the property that measure is (countably) additive implies that not *every* set is measurable.

29

12.1 Lebesgue Integral - Measurable functions

• In Lebesgue's theory, integrals are defined for a class of functions called *measurable functions*, which are the ones for which the sets we get are measurable sets.

 A function f: A →[-∞,∞] is measurable (or measurable on A) if A
 M and the pre-image of every interval of the form (t,∞) is in M: {x | f(x)>t} ∈ M for all t ∈ R

This is somewhat comparable to one of the definitions of continuous functions: A function f is continuous if the inverse image of every open interval is open. However, not every measurable function is continuous, while every continuous function is clearly measurable.

<u>Note</u>: Simple functions, step functions, continuous functions, and monotonic functions are measurable.



12.1 Lebesgue Integral

• To define the Lebesgue integral, we usually follow these steps:

- Define the Lebesgue integral for "simple functions."

- Define the Lebesgue integral for bounded functions over sets of finite measure.

Extend the Lebesgue integral to positive functions (not necessarily bounded). (The concept of measurable function plays a role.)
Define the general Lebesgue integral.

• Definition: Simple function

 $\varphi(\omega) = \sum_{i=1 \text{ to } n} a_i I_{Ai}(\omega),$ where A_1, \dots, A_k are measurable sets on Ω , I_{Ai} is an indicator function and a_1, \dots, a_k are real numbers. Let A_1, \dots, A_k be a partition of Ω --i.e., A_i 's are disjoint and $A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k = \Omega$.

Then, $\varphi(.)$ with distinct a_i 's exactly characterizes this partition.

12.1 Lebesgue Integral – Simple functions

• Simple functions can be thought of as dividing the *range* of f, where the resulting sets A_n may or may not be intervals.

<u>Example</u>: A step function, $\varphi(\omega) = a_i$ for $x_{j-1} < x < x_j$ and the $\{x_j\}$ form a partition of [a, b]. Upper, Lower, and Riemann sums are examples of step functions.

• **Definition**: Lebesgue Integral for Simple Functions Let $\varphi(x) = \sum_{n} a_n I_{An}(x)$ be a simple function and $\mu(A_n)$ be finite for all *n*, then the *Lebesgue integral of* φ is defined as:

 $\int \varphi(x) \, dx = a_1 \, \mu(\mathbf{A}_1) + a_2 \, \mu(\mathbf{A}_2) \dots + a_n \, \mu(\mathbf{A}_n) = \sum_n a_n \, \mu(\mathbf{A}_n)$ If \mathbf{E} is a measurable set, we define $\int_E \varphi(x) \, dx = \int I_E(x) \, \varphi(x) \, dx$

Recall: $\mu(\mathbf{A} = [a, b]) = b - a$. Thus, $\mu(x_{j_1}, x_j + dx) = dx$



36

12.1 Lebesgue Integral – Simple functions

Example 2: Lebesgue integral of f(x) = c f(x) = c can be written as a simple function $f(x) = c I_R(x)$ Then, the Lebesgue integral of f over [a,b] is by definition: $\int_{[a, b]} f(x) dx = \int I_{[a, b]}(x) f(x) dx =$ $= \int c I_{[a, b]}(x) dx = c \mu([a, b]) = c (b - a)$

Note: The same answer as for the Riemann integral.

• Example 3: Lebesgue integral of Dirichlet function on [0,1]. Let \mathbf{Q} be the set of all rational numbers, then the Dirichlet function restricted to [0, 1] is the indicator function of $\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{Q} \cap [0, 1]$. The set \mathbf{A} is a subset of \mathbf{Q} , hence \mathbf{A} is measurable and $\mu(\mathbf{A}) = 0$. Thus,

$$\int I_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \, dx = \mu(\mathbf{A}) = 0$$

Note: Not the same answer as in Riemann's case.

12.1 Lebesgue Integral - Bounded functions

• We used step functions to define the R-integral of a bounded function f over an interval [a,b]. Now, we use simple functions to define the L-integral of f over a set of finite measure.

• **Definition**: Lebesgue Integral for Bounded Functions Suppose *f* is a bounded function defined on a measurable set *E* with finite measure. Define the *upper* and *lower* Lebesgue integrals as

 $I^{*}(f)_{L} = \inf\{\varphi(x) \ dx: \varphi \text{ is simple and } \varphi \ge f \} \quad \text{(lower)}$ $I_{*}(f)_{L} = \sup\{\varphi(x) \ dx: \varphi \text{ is simple and } \varphi \le f \} \quad \text{(upper)}$

If $I^*(f)_L = I_*(f)_L$ the function *f* is called *Lebesgue integrable* (*L-integrable*) over *E* and the Lebesgue integral of *f* over *E* is denoted by $\int_E f(x) dx$



Example: Is f(x)=x L-integrable over [0,1]? We know that $|f(x)| \le 1$ over the interval [0,1]. Define sets:

 $E_j = \{x \in [0,1]: (j-1)/n \le f(x) < j/n\}$ for j = 1, 2, ..., n. Because *f* is continuous, the sets E_j are measurable, they are disjoint, and their union (over the *j*'s) equals [0,1].

Define two simple functions $S_{n}(x) = \sum_{j} j/n I_{Ej}(x)$ $s_{n}(x) = \sum_{j} (j-1)/n I_{Ej}(x)$ Fix an integer *n* and take a number $x \in [0,1)$. Then, *x* must be contained in exactly one set E_{j} , and on that set we have $s_{n}(x) = (j-1)/n \leq f(x) < j/n = S_{n}(x)$

Thus, on all of [0,1], we know that $s_n(x) \le f(x) \le S_n(x)$

12.1 Lebesgue Integral - Bounded functions

Example (continuation): Thus, on all of [0,1], we know that $s_n(x) \leq f(x) \leq S_n(x)$. But then, $I^*(f)_L \leq \int S_n(x) \, dx = 1/n \sum_j j \, \mu(E_j)$ $I_*(f)_L \geq \int s_n(x) \, dx = 1/n \sum_j (j-1) \, \mu(E_j)$ Therefore, $I^*(f)_L - I_*(f)_L \leq 1/n \sum_j (j - (j-1)) \, \mu(E_j) = 1/n \sum_j \mu(E_j)$ $= 1/n \, \mu([0,1]) = 1/n$

Since n was arbitrary the upper and lower Lebesgue integrals must agree, hence the function f is L-integrable.

<u>Note</u>: With a few simple modifications this example can be used to show that *every bounded function f*, which has the property that *the sets* E_j are measurable, is L-integrable.

12.1 Lebesgue Integral – Bounded functions

Example: Value of the Lebesgue integral f(x) = x over [0,1] Compute $\mu(E_j)$ using the fact that f(x) = x: for a fixed *n* we have $\mu(E_j) = \mu(\{x \in [0,1]: (j-1)/n < f(x) < j/n\}) =$ $= \mu(\{x \in [0,1]: (j-1)/n < x < j/n\}) =$ $= \mu([(j-1)/n, j/n]) = 1/n$

Then,

ſ

$$f(x) dx = \lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \to \infty} \frac{j}{\mu(E_j)}$$

= $\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j \to \infty} \frac{j}{n} \frac{1}{n}$
= $\lim_{j \to \infty} \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{j \to \infty} \frac{j}{n} \frac{1}{n^2} \frac{n(n-1)}{2} = \frac{1}{2}$

=> same value as for the Riemann integral.

12.1 Lebesgue Integral – General Case

• We have extended the concept of integration to (bounded) functions defined on general sets (measurable sets with finite measure) without using partitions (subintervals).

• The Lebesgue integral agrees with the Riemann integral, when both apply. This new concept removes some strange results –for example, we can integrate over Dirilecht functions over an interval.

• But, we have restricted our attention to *bounded* functions only. To generalize the Lebesgue integral to functions that are unbounded, including functions that may occasionally be equal to infinity, we need the concept of a *measurable function*.

• Recall that measurable functions do not have to be continuous. They may be unbounded and they can be equal to ±∞. They are "almost" continuous –i.e., except on a set of measure less than ε.⁴⁰



12.1 Lebesgue Integral - Remarks

• The Lebesgue integral is more general than the Riemann integral: If *f(.)* is R-integrable, it is also L-integrable.

• For most practical applications, we use the result that for continuous functions or bounded functions with at most countably many discontinuities over intervals [*a*,*b*] there is no need to distinguish between the Lebesgue or Riemann integral.

• Then, all Riemann integration techniques can be used. But, for more complicated situations, the Lebesgue integral is more useful.

• The Lebesgue integral makes no distinction between bounded and unbounded sets in integration, and the standard theorems apply equally to both cases.



H. Lebesgue (1875-1941, France)

12.1 Notation

- *f*(*x*): function (it must be continuous in [*a*,*b*]).
- *x*: variable of integration
- f(x) dx: integrand
- *a, b*: boundaries

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx$$



46

12.1 Properties of Integrals

Assuming f(x) and g(x) are Riemann integrable functions on [a,b], with *c* inside [a,b] and *k* and *q* are constants, the following properties can be derived (the last three are easy if we think of Riemann integration as summation):

$$\int_{a}^{a} f(x)dx = 0$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = -\int_{b}^{a} f(x)dx$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx = \int_{a}^{c} f(x)dx + \int_{c}^{b} f(x)dx$$

$$\int_{a}^{b} [kf(x) + qg(x)]dx = k\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx + q\int_{a}^{b} g(x)dx$$

$$|\int_{a}^{b} f(x)dx| \leq \int_{a}^{b} |f(x)| dx$$

12.2 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

- The fundamental theorem of calculus states that differentiation and integration are inverse operations.
- It relates the values of antiderivatives to definite integrals. Because it is usually easier to compute an antiderivative than to apply the definition of a definite integral, the Fundamental Theorem of Calculus provides a practical way of computing definite integrals.
- It can also be interpreted as a precise statement of the fact that differentiation is the inverse of integration.

48

12.2 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus

• The Fundamental Theorem of Calculus states: If a function *f* is continuous on the interval [*a*, *b*] and if *F* is a function whose derivative is *f* on the interval (*a*, *b*), then

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) dx = F(b) - F(a)$$

• Furthermore, for every x in the interval (a, b),

$$F(x) = \int_{a}^{x} f(t)dt$$
, satisfying $\frac{dF(x)}{dx} = f(x)$

• In other words, if a function has a derivative over a range of numbers, the integral over that same range can be calculated by evaluating at the end points of the range and subtracting.

12.2 Fundamental Theorem of Calculus: Notes

- The first part is used to evaluate integrals.
- The second part defines the anti-derivative. Finding the antiderivative is finding the integral.
- <u>Example</u>: Find the antiderivative of f(x) = 10 x⁴
 F(x) = 2 x⁵
- In general, small letters will be used for functions, capital letters for anti-derivatives.



12.3 Rules of Integration Integration of the power function: For $n \neq -1$ $\int \kappa x^n dx = \frac{\kappa}{n+1} x^{n+1} + C$ Integration of $\frac{1}{x}$ $\int \frac{\kappa}{x} dx = \kappa \ln(x) + C$ The Integral of e^x $\int e^{\lambda x} dx = \frac{e^{\lambda x}}{\lambda} + C$ The Constant Multiple Rule $\int cf(x) dx = c \int f(x) dx$ The Sum Rule for Integrals $\int [f(x) + g(x)] dx = \int f(x) dx + \int g(x) dx$ Integration of sin function: $\int \sin \alpha x dx = \frac{-\cos \alpha x}{\alpha} + C$











12.4 Integration by Substitution: Rule

Theorem: Substitution Rule

Let f(.) be a continuous function defined on [a, b], and s(.) a continuously differentiable function from [c, d] into [a, b]. Then,

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(s(t))s'(t) dt = \int_{s(a)}^{s(b)} f(x) dx$$

• If we can identify a composition of functions as well as the derivative of one of the composed functions, we can find the antiderivative and evaluate the corresponding integral.



12.5 Integration by Parts Recall the product rule of differentiation: d(u v) = u dv + v du Solve for u dv: udv = d(uv) - vdu Integrating both sides: ∫udv = ∫[d(uv) - vdu] ∫udv = uv - ∫vdu The last formula is used to *integrate by parts*. Key: Selection of u & v functions. In general, u involves logs, inverse, power, exponential, and trigonometric functions (in this order, LIPET).







12.5 Integration by Parts: Example II (cont)

• The expression looks more complicated than the original. Integrate by parts again.	$K = e^{2x} \sin(x) - 2 \int e^{2x} \sin(x) dx$ $u = e^{2x} \implies du = 2e^{2x} dx$ $v = -\cos(x) \implies dv = \sin(x) dx$ $K = e^{2x} \sin(x) - 2 \left(-e^{2x} \cos(x) + 2 \int e^{2x} \cos(x) dx \right)$
• The integral of $e^{2x} \cos(x)$ is equal to K (the original integral).	Note: $K = \int e^{2x} \cos(x) dx$ $K = e^{2x} \sin(x) + 2e^{2x} \cos(x) - 4K$
• Replace the integral of $e^{2x}\cos(x)$ with K and solve for K.	$5K = e^{2x} \sin(x) + 2e^{2x} \cos(x)$ $K = \frac{e^{2x} \sin(x) + 2e^{2x} \cos(x)}{5} + C$
	62

12.5 Integration by Parts: Tricks

- In an integral, do only integration by parts in the parts that are not easy to integrate.
- If the integration by parts is getting out of hand, you may have selected the wrong *u* function.
- If you see your original integral in the integral part of the integration by parts, just combine the two like integrals and solve for the integral.
- Integration by parts can be used to derive an effective way to compute the value of an integral numerically, the *trapezoid rule*.

12.5 Integration by Parts: Trapezoid Rule

• Riemann sums can be used to approximate an integral, but convergence is slow. There are many rules designed to speed up the calculations. The trapezoid rule is simple, with good convergence.

• To prove it, we need the Mean Value Theorem for Integration

Theorem: MVT for Integration

Let *f* and *g* be continuous functions defined on [a,b] so that $g(x) \ge 0$, then there exists a number $c \in [a,b]$ with

$$\int_{a}^{b} f(x) g(x) dx = f(c) \int_{a}^{b} g(x) dx$$

<u>Proof</u>: Simple exercise. (Use the supremum and infimum of f(x) on [*a*,*b*], apply Riemann integral properties and then use the Intermediate Value Theorem for continuous functions.)



12.5 Integration by Parts: Trapezoid Rule • Derivation of Trapezoid Rule First, we prove the trapezoid rule on [0,1]. That is, $\int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = \left[\frac{1}{2}f(0) + \frac{1}{2}f(1)\right] - \frac{1}{12}f(c), \quad \text{where } c \in [0,1].$

Trick: Define a function

 $v(x) = 1/2 \times (1 - x), \text{ which has the properties:}$ - $v(x) \ge 0 \text{ for all } x \in [0,1]$ & v(1) = v(0) = 0.- v'(x) = 1/2 - x => v'(1) = -1/2 & $v(0) = \frac{1}{2}$ - v''(x) = -1Then, $\int_0^1 f(x) dx = -\int_0^1 v''(x) f(x) dx$



12.5 Integration by Parts: Trapezoid Rule • General trapezoid rule: Assume that f(.) is defined on [a,b]. Let b = (b - a)/n, pick an integer j, and define the function u(x) = a + jb + xb for $x \in [0,1]$. The composite function g(x) = f(u(x)) is twice continuously differentiable and defined on [0,1]. We can use the simple trapezoid rule: $\int g(x) dx = 1/2 g(0) + 1/2 g(1) - 1/12 g''(c)$ But g(0)=f(u(0))=f(a + jb); g(1)=f(u(1))=f(a + (j+1)b), & $g''(x)=b^2 f''(x)$. Also notice that $1/2 g(0) + 1/2 g(1) - 1/12 g''(c) = \int g(x) dx = \int f(u(x)) dx =$ $= 1/h \int_0^1 f(u(x))u'(x) dx = 1/h \int_{a+jh}^{a+(j+1)h} f(u) du$ 68



12.6 Improper Riemann Integrals

• Improper Riemann integral: It is the limit of a definite integral as an endpoint of the interval(s) of integration approaches either a specified real number that causes a discontinuity or ∞ or $-\infty$ or, in some cases, as both endpoints approach limits.

• Roughly, it is an integral that has infinity as its limits or has a discontinuity within its limits.

Examples:

 \int_{1}^{∞}

$$\frac{1}{x^2} dx$$
 Infinity as a boundary (Problem: domain of integration unbounded).

$$\int_0^5 \frac{1}{x-4} dx$$
 Discontinuity at x=4 (Problem: integrand is unbounded in the domain of integration).

12.6 Improper Riemann Integrals

• The Riemann integral can often be extended by continuity, by defining the improper integral instead as a limit.

• With limits of infinity, use a letter to replace the infinity, say τ , and treat it as a limit (lim $\tau \rightarrow \infty$). For example,

$$\int_{2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \int_{2}^{\tau} \frac{1}{x^{2}} dx = \lim_{\tau \to \infty} \left(-\frac{1}{\tau} + \frac{1}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

• With points of discontinuity, split integral into parts. But, we cannot integrate to the point of discontinuity, say x_0 . Then, we integrate to $x_0 \pm \delta$ and take limits as $\delta \rightarrow 0$.

• An improper integral converges if the limit defining it exists. It is also possible for an improper integral to diverge to infinity or to no particular value (oscillation).







12.7 Applications: Elements of Probability Example: Uniform Distribution: f(x) = constant - i.e., the probability of any outcome is the same. Suppose $x \in [0,20]$. What is the probability that x is between 10 and 15? $P(10 \le X \le 15) = \int_{10}^{15} f(x) dx = \int_{10}^{15} \frac{1}{20} dx = \frac{1}{20} \int_{10}^{15} dx = \frac{1}{20} (x) \int_{10}^{15} = \frac{1}{20} (15 - 10) = \frac{1}{4}.$ Example: Exponential Distribution: $f(x) = \lambda e^{\lambda x}$ for $0 \le x \le \infty$. Let Suppose $\lambda = 3$, what is the probability that x is between 0 and 1? $P(0 \le X \le 1) = \int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx = 3 \int_{0}^{1} e^{-3x} dx = -3 \frac{e^{-3x}}{3} = -(e^{-3x}) \int_$

12.7 Applications: Elements of Probability

• Mean and Variance

Suppose X is a continuous RV with probability density function f(x). Then, the mean or expected value of X, denoted μ , is

$$\mu = E[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f(x) dx$$

• The variance of X, denoted as σ^2 or V[X], is

$$\sigma^2 = V[X] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (x - \mu)^2 f(x) dx$$

• The standard deviation, σ , is the square root of V[X].

12.7 Applications: Elements of Probability

• <u>Example</u>: Uniform Distribution: f(x) = 1/20. Calculate the mean and variance of f(x), where $x \in [0, 20]$?

$$E[X] = \int_{0}^{20} xf(x)dx = \int_{0}^{20} \frac{x}{20}dx = \frac{1}{20}\int_{0}^{20} xdx = \frac{1}{20}(\frac{x^2}{2})\Big|_{0}^{20} = \frac{1}{40}(400) = 10.$$

$$V[X] = \int_{0}^{20} (x-\mu)^2 f(x)dx = \int_{0}^{20} \frac{(x-10)^2}{20}dx = \frac{1}{20}\int_{0}^{20} (u)^2 du = \frac{1}{20}(\frac{u^3}{3})\Big|_{0}^{20}$$

$$= \frac{1}{60}(x-10)^3\Big|_{0}^{20} = \frac{1}{60}(10^3+10^3) = 33.33$$

• Example: Exponential Distribution:
$$f(x) = \lambda e^{-\lambda x}$$
 for $0 \le x \le \infty$.
Calculate the mean (integration by parts needed, $u = x, v = -e^{-\lambda x}$).

$$E[X] = \int_{0}^{\infty} x \lambda e^{-\lambda x} dx = e^{-\lambda x} x \Big|_{0}^{\infty} + \int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-\lambda x} dx = 0 - \left(\frac{e^{-\lambda x}}{\lambda}\right) \Big|_{0}^{\infty} = \frac{1}{\lambda}.$$

12.7 Applications: Truncated Normal

• Suppose we are interested in a regression, $y_i = \mathbf{x}_i^2 \mathbf{\beta} + \mathbf{\epsilon}_i, \qquad \mathbf{\epsilon}_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2)$

but we only observe the part of the sample with y>0.

Model: $y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{\beta} + v_i$, for $y_i = \mathbf{x}_i' \mathbf{\beta} + v_i > 0$ - Let's look at the density of v_i , $f_v(.)$, which must integrate to 1:

$$\int_{-x_i'\beta}^{\infty} f_{\upsilon}(\eta) d\eta = 1$$

- The ε_i 's density, normal by assumption:

$$\int_{-x_i'\beta}^{\infty} f_{\varepsilon}(\eta) d\eta = F_i = \int_{-\infty}^{x_i'\beta} f_{\varepsilon}(\eta) d\eta = \int_{-\infty}^{x_i'\beta} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\eta}{\sigma})^2}$$

- Then, $f_{\rm v}(.)$ can be written as:

$$f_{\nu} = F_i^{-1} f_{\varepsilon} = F_i^{-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\frac{\nu_i}{\sigma})^2}$$
⁷⁸











12.8 Double Integrals The double integral $\iint_{R} f(x, y) dA \text{ of } f \text{ over the rectangle R is}$ $\iint_{R} f(x, y) dA = \lim_{m, n \to \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A, \text{ if the limit exists.}$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$ $Pouble Riemann sum: \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} f(x_{ij}^{*}, y_{ij}^{*}) \Delta A$



12.8 Double Integrals: Fubini's Theorem

Theorem: Fubini's Theorem

Suppose *A* and *B* are complete measure spaces. Suppose f(x,y) is $A \times B$ measurable. If

$$\int_{AxB} |f(x, y)| d(x, y) < \infty$$

where the integral is taken with respect to a product measure on the space over $A \times B$, then

$$\iint_{AxB} f(x, y) dA = \iint_{A} \left(\iint_{B} f(x, y) dx \right) dy = \iint_{B} \left(\iint_{A} f(x, y) dy \right) dx$$

where the last two integrals are iterated integrals with respect to two measures, respectively, and the first being an integral with respect to a product of these two measures.

12.8 Double Integrals: Fubini's Theorem

Fubini's Theorem is very general. For the Riemann's case, we have: If f(x,y) is continuous on rectangle R=[a,b]×[c,d] then the double integral is equal to the *iterated integral*.

$$\iint_{R} f(x, y) dA = \int_{c}^{d} \int_{a}^{b} f(x, y) dx dy = \int_{a}^{b} \int_{c}^{d} f(x, y) dy dx$$

That is, we can compute first

by holding *y* constant and integrating over *x* as if this were an single integral. This creates a function with only *x*, which we can integrate as usual. Then, we integrate over *y*, again, as usual.

 $\int f(x, y) dx$





12.8 Double Integrals: Computation (General Case)

• Before, we looked at double integrals over a rectangular region, *R*. Not realistic. Most regions are not rectangular. We adapt our previous result to the general case.

• If f(x,y) is continuous on A={ $(x,y) | x \in [a,b] \& h(x) \le y \le g(x)$ }, then the double integral is equal to the iterated integral:





12.8 Double Integrals: Fubini's Thorem Corollary • If $f(x, y) = \varphi(x) \psi(y)$ then $\iint_{R} f(x, y) dA = \iint_{c}^{d} \oint_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) \psi(y) dx dy = \left[\oint_{a}^{b} \varphi(x) dx \right] \cdot \left[\oint_{c}^{d} \psi(y) dy \right]$ Examples: $\iint_{R} y \sin(x) dA, \quad A = [1/2, 1] \times [\pi/2, \pi]$ $\iint_{R} \frac{1}{2\pi} e^{\frac{(x-\mu_{x})^{2}}{2}} e^{\frac{(y-\mu_{y})^{2}}{2}} dx dy, \quad R = [-\infty, \infty] \times [-\infty, \infty]$

12.8 Double Integrals: Polar Coordinates

• Sometimes, it is easier to move from Cartesian coordinates to polar coordinates. For example, we have a region that is a disk or a portion of a ring. Cartesian coordinates could be cumbersome.

Examples: $\iint_D f(x, y) dA$ where D is a disk of radius 2.

We can describe the area *D* as: $-2 \le x \le 2$ & $-\sqrt{(4-x^2)} \le y \le \sqrt{(4-x^2)}$

$$\iint_{D} f(x, y) dA = \int_{-2}^{2} \int_{-\sqrt{4-x^{2}}}^{-\sqrt{4-x^{2}}} f(x, y) dx dy$$

Easier to describe a disk of radius 2 in polar coordinates:

$$0 \le \theta \le 2\pi$$
 & $0 \le r \le 2$

To integrate, we need a change of variables: $x=r\sin(\theta)$, $y=r\cos(\theta)_{3}$ and $dA = r dr d\theta$.

12.8 Double Integrals: Polar Coordinates • Let's generalize the example. Now,: $\alpha \le \theta \le \beta$ & $b_1(\theta) \le r \le b_2(\theta)$ Ther $\iint_D f(x, y) dA = \int_{\alpha}^{\beta^{b_2}(\theta)} f(r \cos(\theta), r \sin(\theta)) r dr d\theta$ Note: $dA = r dr d\theta$ (not $dA = dr d\theta$, as in the Cartesian world). Example: $\iint_{D=R^2} e^{-(\frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{y^2}{2})} dx dy = \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^{\infty} e^{-(\frac{r^2}{2})} r dr d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \left[-e^{-(\frac{r^2}{2})} \right]_0^{\infty} d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} 1 d\theta = 2\pi$ We use a change of variables: $x = r \sin(\theta)$, $y = r \cos(\theta)$ (recall $r^2 = x^2 + y^2$) and $dA = r dr d\theta$.

12.9 Computational Science vs. Calculus

• Calculus tells you how to compute precise integrals & derivatives when you know the equation (analytical form) for a problem; for example, for the indefinite integral:

 $\int (-t^2 + 10t + 24) dt = -t^3/3 + 5t^2 + 24t + C$

- It turns out that many integral do not have analytical solutions or are complicated to compute, especially when we move to more than 3 dimensions. For these problems, we rely on numerical approximations.
- Computational science provides methods for *estimating* integrals and derivatives from actual data.