

Stat581 HW3 Solutions

7.(3') Coupon collecting. Suppose there are N different types of coupons available when buying cereal; each box contains one coupon and the collector is seeking to collect one of each in order to win a prize. After buying n boxes, what is the probability P_n that the collector has at least one of each type?

Hint: similar to example 2.1.2.

Let A_i be the event "ith type of coupon is not collected after buying n boxes", $i = 1, \dots, N$

$$P(A_i) = \frac{(N-1)^n}{N^n}, P(A_i A_j) = \frac{(N-2)^n}{N^n}, i \neq j, \dots$$

by inclusion - exclusion,

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i\right) &= \sum_{i=1}^N P(A_i) - \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} P(A_i A_j) + \dots + (-1)^{N+1} P\left(\bigcap_{i=1}^N A_i\right) \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{k+1} \binom{N}{k} \frac{(N-k)^n}{N^n} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so, } P_n = 1 - P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^N A_i\right) = 1 - \sum_{k=1}^N (-1)^{k+1} \binom{N}{k} \frac{(N-k)^n}{N^n}$$

8. (2') We know that $P_1 = P_2$ on \mathcal{B} if $P_1 = P_2$ on \mathcal{C} , provided that \mathcal{C} generates \mathcal{B} and is a π -system. Show this last property cannot be omitted.

Proof : by counter example,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Follow the example, } \Omega = \{a, b, c, d\} \text{ with } P_1(\{a\}) = P_1(\{d\}) = P_2(\{b\}) = P_2(\{c\}) &= \frac{1}{6} \\ P_1(\{b\}) = P_1(\{c\}) = P_2(\{a\}) = P_2(\{d\}) &= \frac{1}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\mathcal{C} = \{\{a, b\}, \{d, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}\}$, then $P_1 = P_2$ on \mathcal{C} ,

clearly, \mathcal{C} is not a π -system, now we want an example of some collection \mathcal{B} generated by \mathcal{C} but $P_1 \neq P_2$ on \mathcal{B} ,

consider $\mathcal{B} = \sigma(\mathcal{C}) = \{\emptyset, \Omega, \{a, b\}, \{d, c\}, \{a, c\}, \{b, d\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c\}\}$,

$$P_1(\{a\}) = \frac{1}{6} \neq P_2(\{a\}) = \frac{1}{3}, \text{ so } P_1 \neq P_2 \text{ on } \mathcal{B}.$$

10. (3') Two events A, B on the probability space (Ω, \mathcal{B}, P) are equivalent if $P(A \cap B) = P(A) \vee P(B)$.

Proof :

$$\left. \begin{aligned} A \cap B \subset A &\Rightarrow P(A \cap B) \leq P(A) \\ A \cap B \subset B &\Rightarrow P(A \cap B) \leq P(B) \end{aligned} \right\} \Rightarrow P(A \cap B) \leq P(A) \wedge P(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A \cap B) = P(A) \vee P(B) \leq P(A) \wedge P(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow P(A \cap B) = P(A) = P(B)$$

$$A \Delta B = AB^c \cup A^cB = (A \cup A^cB) \cap (B^c \cup A^cB) = (A \cup B) \cap (A^c \cup B^c) = (A \cup B) \setminus (A \cap B)$$

$$P(A \Delta B) = P(A \cup B) - P(A \cap B)$$

$$= P(A) + P(B) - P(A \cap B) - P(A \cap B)$$

$$= 0$$

11. (3') Suppose $\{B_n, n \geq 1\}$ are events with $P(B_n) = 1$ for all n. Show $P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = 1$.

Proof :

$$P(B_n) = 1 \Rightarrow P(B_n^c) = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n^c) = 0 \Rightarrow P(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n^c) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(B_n^c) = 0 \Rightarrow 1 - P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = 0 \Rightarrow P(\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B_n) = 1$$

13. (3') If $\{B_k\}$ are events such that $\sum_{k=1}^n P(B_k) > n - 1$, then $P(\bigcap_{k=1}^n B_k) > 0$.

Proof :

$$\sum_{k=1}^n P(B_k) > n - 1 \Rightarrow 1 > \sum_{k=1}^n [1 - P(B_k)] \Rightarrow P(\bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k^c) \leq \sum_{k=1}^n P(B_k^c) < 1 \Rightarrow P(\bigcap_{k=1}^n B_k) = P[(\bigcup_{k=1}^n B_k^c)^c] > 0$$

24. (3') Suppose λ_2 is the uniform distribution on the unit square $[0,1]^2$ defined by its distribution function $\lambda_2([0, \theta_1] \times [0, \theta_2]) = \theta_1 \theta_2, (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [0,1]^2$.

(a) Prove that λ_2 assigns 0 probability to the boundary of $[0,1]^2$.

Proof :

for bottom boundary $B_b, \lambda_2(B_b) = \lambda_2([0, \theta_1] \times [0, 0]) = \theta_1 \times 0 = 0, \theta_1 \in [0, 1];$

for left boundary $B_l, \lambda_2(B_l) = \lambda_2([0, 0] \times [0, \theta_2]) = 0 \times \theta_2 = 0, \theta_2 \in [0, 1];$

for top boundary $B_t, \lambda_2(B_t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \downarrow \lambda_2([0, \theta_1] \times [1 - \varepsilon, 1]) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \downarrow [\lambda_2([0, \theta_1] \times [0, 1]) - \lambda_2([0, \theta_1] \times [0, 1 - \varepsilon])]$
 $= \theta_1 - \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \uparrow \lambda_2([0, \theta_1] \times [0, 1 - \varepsilon]) = \theta_1 - \theta_1 = 0, \theta_1 \in [0, 1];$

for right boundary $B_r, \lambda_2(B_r) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \downarrow \lambda_2([1 - \varepsilon, 1] \times [0, \theta_2]) = \theta_2 - \theta_2 = 0, \theta_2 \in [0, 1].$

(b) Calculate $\lambda_2\{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [0,1]^2 : \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 > \frac{2}{3}\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2\{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [0,1]^2 : \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 > \frac{2}{3}\} &= \lambda_2\{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [0,1]^2 : \theta_1 > \frac{2}{3}, \theta_2 > \frac{2}{3}\} \\ &= \lambda_2\left(\left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right] \times \left[\frac{2}{3}, 1\right]\right) \\ &= \lambda_2([0, 1] \times [0, 1]) - \lambda_2\left([0, \frac{2}{3}] \times [0, 1]\right) - \lambda_2\left([0, 1] \times [0, \frac{2}{3}]\right) + \lambda_2\left([0, \frac{2}{3}] \times [0, \frac{2}{3}]\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{9}. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Calculate $\lambda_2\{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [0,1]^2 : \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \leq x, \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \leq y\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_2\{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [0,1]^2 : \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 \leq x \wedge y\} &= 1 - \lambda_2\{(\theta_1, \theta_2) \in [0,1]^2 : \theta_1 \wedge \theta_2 > x \wedge y\} \\ &= 1 - (1 - x \wedge y)^2 \end{aligned}$$

26. (3') If A_1, \dots, A_n are events, define

$$S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A_i)$$

$$S_2 = \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n} P(A_i A_j)$$

\vdots

(a) Show the probability $p(m) = P[\sum_{i=1}^n 1_{A_i} = m]$ of exactly m of the events occurring is

$p(m) = S_m - \binom{m+1}{m} S_{m+1} + \dots \pm \binom{n}{m} S_n$. Verify that the inclusion-exclusion formula is a special case of this.

Proof :

$$\begin{aligned} p(m) &= \sum_{\binom{n}{m} \text{ cases}} P \left[\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^m A_{i_k} \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_k\}} A_{i_j} \right)^c \right] \\ &= \sum_{\binom{n}{m} \text{ cases}} P \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^m A_{i_k} \right) - \sum_{\binom{n}{m} \text{ cases}} P \left[\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^m A_{i_k} \right) \cap \left(\bigcup_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_k\}} A_{i_j} \right) \right] \\ &= S_m - \sum_{\binom{n}{m} \text{ cases}} P \left[\bigcup_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_k\}} \left[\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^m A_{i_k} \right) \cap A_{i_j} \right] \right] \\ &\stackrel{\text{in-ex}}{=} S_m - \sum_{\binom{n}{m} \text{ cases}} \left[\sum_{j \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_k\}} P \left[\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^m A_{i_k} \right) \cap A_{i_j} \right] - \sum_{j_1, j_2 \in \{1, \dots, n\} \setminus \{i_k\}} P \left[\left(\bigcap_{k=1}^m A_{i_k} \right) \cap A_{i_{j_1}} \cap A_{i_{j_2}} \right] + \dots \pm P \left[\bigcap_{i=1}^n A_{i_i} \right] \right] \\ &= S_m - \binom{m+1}{m} S_{m+1} + \dots \pm \binom{n}{m} S_n \end{aligned}$$

verify inclusion – exclusion :

$$\begin{aligned}
 P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i\right) &= p(1) + \dots + p(n) \\
 &= S_1 - \binom{2}{1} S_2 + \binom{3}{1} S_3 - \dots \pm \binom{n}{1} S_n \\
 &+ S_2 - \binom{3}{2} S_3 + \dots \pm \binom{n}{2} S_n \\
 &+ \dots \\
 &+ S_n \\
 &= (-1)^{k+1} S_k \left[\sum_{j=1}^k (-1)^{j+1} \binom{k}{j} \right] \\
 &= (-1)^{k+1} S_k \left[\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{j+1} \binom{k}{j} + 1 \right] \\
 &= (-1)^{k+1} S_k
 \end{aligned}$$

(b) Referring to example 2.1.2, compute the probability of exactly m coincidences.

$$\begin{aligned}
 p(m) &= S_m - \binom{m+1}{m} S_{m+1} + \dots \pm \binom{n}{m} S_n \\
 &= \sum_{k=m}^n (-1)^{k-m} \binom{k}{m} \binom{n}{k} \frac{(n-k)!}{n!} \\
 &= \sum_{k=m}^n (-1)^{k-m} \frac{k!}{m!(k-m)!} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!} \frac{(n-k)!}{n!} \\
 &= \sum_{k=m}^n \frac{(-1)^{k-m}}{m!(k-m)!} \\
 &\approx \frac{1}{m!} e^{-1}
 \end{aligned}$$